## THE QUEST FOR UNITY: PROCLUS' CONCEPTION OF MUSIC

The focus of this paper is on Proclus' notion of mousikē which emerges from his effort to reconstruct and systematise various aspects of Plato's notion of mousikē in the Commentary on Plato's Republic. Proclus famously regarded himself as an expositor and defender of Plato's philosophy. In doing so he occasionally let Plato hold his own views. The present paper seeks to demonstrate how Proclus' specific aims bring about a reconsideration of the nature of musical meaning and functions of music distancing him from both Plato and his less remote predecessor and Neoplatonist fellow Plotinus.

1. Proclus division of mousike: the background. Plato's discussions of mousiké on different occasions allow the conclusion that he held a multifaceted notion thereof. However, he nowhere formulated a unified account of mousikē which would have brought together its various aspects. This task has been accomplished by Proclus in the fifth treatise On poetry, Its Kinds, and Plato's Judgement of the Best Harmony and Rhythm of his Commentary on Republic1 where Plato's views on mousike and poetry as one of its parts are expounded. The essay is not a line-by-line commentary but a collection of ten questions raised by Plato's considerations about poetry in the Republic. The fourth, the fifth and the sixth questions deal immediately with music; the fourth and the sixth address technical issues concerning harmonies and rhythms whereas the fifth uncovers disunity in Plato's views about the relationship between music and poetry which Proclus purports to explain away. Specifically, he identifies two mutually exclusive attitudes to poetry one of which attaches it to music whereas the other explicitly separates them. The first approach is advanced in the passage from Phaedrus<sup>2</sup> where poetry is clearly subsumed under the mousike3. The opposing view ap-

It is also illustrated by the passage from the Laws, VII, 719 c: «ποιητής, ὁπόταν ἐν τῷ



in Platonis Rempublicam, ed. W. Kroll, 2 vols., Leipzig, 1899-1901. Cf. E. MOUTSOPOULOS, La philosophie de la musique dans le système de Proclus, Athens, Academy of Athens, 2004, pp. 11 sq.; 79 sq.; 203 sq.

<sup>2.</sup> Plato, Phaedrus, 245 a öς δ' äν ἄνευ μανίας Μουσῶν ἐπὶ ποιητικὰς θύρας ἀφίκηται, πεισθεὶς ὡς ἄρα ἐκ τέχνης ἱκανὸς ποιητὴς ἐσόμενος, ἀτελὴς αὐτός τε καὶ ἡ ποίησις ὑπὸ τῆς τῶν μαινομένων ἡ τοῦ σωφρονοῦντος ἡφανίσθη.

pears in close proximity in *Phaedrus*<sup>4</sup> which makes the alleged contradiction even more pressing. In his attempt to remove it, Proclus is following his standard strategy, namely to demonstrate that Plato advocated a multifold notion of music which accommodates both attitudes. This strategy is in a sense announced in the formulation of the fifth question: What is real music and what are the lower types of music? Responding to this question, Proclus takes pains to reconstruct Plato's notion of *mousikē* in its full scope bringing together his scattered remarks in different dialogues.

We see, then, that Proclus' interest in music is subordinated to his concern with poetry which he regarded – alongside other literary forms – more pertinent in view of achieving the main goal of every human being to become like a god. Bearing in mind the motivation that lies behind Proclus' classification, we turn now to the discussion of the types of *mousikē* distinguished by him.

2. Music qua philosophy. Relying upon Socrates' remarks in *Phaedo* 61 a, Proclus maintains that philosophy itself is the most beautiful music. Music *qua* philosophy is inspired by the gods and possesses the power to harmonise the soul by applying the Dorian mode and celebrate the divine. These effects of music which make it indispensable as a means of education are most readily to be found in the philosopher who is a musician *par excellence*<sup>5</sup>.

Before looking at this passage of Proclus, it is worth noting that there were different ways to conceive music as philosophy in antiquity. For example, the similarity of the effects that both philosophy and music produce (e.g., the purging of the soul and moral improvement) could serve as a unifying factor. An alternative explanatory model was based upon the principle of structural analogy: the harmonious concordance of musical sounds was regarded as a counterpart of consistency in argument and action. Plato made use of both strategies while likening music to philosophy. In doing so he construed mousike (a) as the art covering poetry and music, (b) as a generalised concept that embraces all activities under the patronage of the

τρίποδι τῆς Μούσης καθίζηται, τότε οὐκ ἔμφρων ἐστίν, οἶον δὲ κρήνη τις τὸ ἐπιὸν ῥεῖν ἑτοίμως ἐᾱͅ».

<sup>4.</sup> Plato, Phaedrus, 248 d-e: ... ἀλλὰ τὴν μὲν πλεῖστα ἰδοῦσαν εἰς γονὴν ἀνδρὸς γενησομένου φιλοσόφου ἡ φιλοχάλου ἡ μουσιχοῦ τινὸς καὶ ἐρωτιχοῦ... ἕχτη ποιητιχὸς ἡ τῶν περὶ μίμησίν τις ἄλλος άρμόσει.

<sup>5.</sup> Ibid., 248 d-e: λέγομεν οὖν καὶ τὴν φιλοσοφίαν αὐτὴν μεγίστην εἶναι μουσικήν, ὣσπερ καὶ ἐρωτικὴν εἰ βούλει φάναι τὴν ἐρωτικωτάτην ἁρμοσαμένην οὐ λύραν, ἀλλὰ αὐτὴν τὴν ψυχὴν τὴν ἀρίστην ἁρμονίαν, δι' ἢν ἡ ψυχὴ τά τε ἀνθρώπινα πάντα δυνατὸν κοσμεῖν καὶ τὰ θεῖα τελέως ἡμνωδεῖν, αὐτὸν μιμουμένη τὸν μουσηγέτην. Cf. in Remp., I, 57,8-13 I, 57, 8-13 (K.).

Muses and (c) finally he applied it to music in a narrow sense as the art of harmonies and rhythms. The affinity between philosophy and music in a wider sense figures in Plato's discussions of education in which he pleads for the complementarity of music and gymnastics vehemently criticising the one-sided emphasis upon one of them. For example, in the third book of the Republic music and philosophy are contrasted to gymnastics as activities that foster the spiritual development of the individual. In Gorgias consistency of the discourse is given preference over the concordance of tunes of the lyre or choral singing. A parallel between philosophy and music in the narrow sense is drawn in Alcibiades' praise of Socrates in Symposium where the effects of philosophical discourse are compared to those of instrumental music.

Returning now to Proclus, let me remind that his first reference in the discussion of Plato's notion of music qua philosophy is to Phaedo 61 a where music is taken in its broadest meaning<sup>9</sup>. This follows unambiguously from Socrates' explanation of the reasons for his writing poems <sup>10</sup>. Socrates points out that he initially interpreted the command of his dream «to make music and work at it» as encouragement to continue his philosophical inquiry. But after his execution had been delayed by the festival of Apollo he decided to write what is «ordinarily called music» to make sure that he was obeying the command <sup>11</sup>.

Reconstructing Plato's notion of music qua philosophy, Proclus further adduces passages from Laches and Cratylus in which the connection between music and wisdom is emphasised. In the former the musical man is characterised as rightly tuned whose words are in accord with his deeds 12. The latter reveals how this end can be achieved through the etymology of



<sup>6.</sup> Plato, Rep., 411 c: αν αδ γυμναστική πολλά πονή καὶ εὐωχήται εδ μάλα, μουσικής δὲ καὶ φιλοσοφίας μὴ απτηται, οὐ πρῶτον μὲν εδ ἴσχων τὸ σῶμα φρονήματός τε καὶ θυμοῦ ἐμπίπλαται καὶ ἀνδρειότερος γίγνεται αὐτὸς αύτοῦ;

<sup>7.</sup> Plato, Gorgias, 482 b: καίτοι ἔγωγε οἶμαι, ὧ βέλτιστε, καὶ τὴν λύραν μοι κρεῖττον εἶναι ἀναρμοστεῖν τε καὶ διαφωνεῖν, καὶ χορόν ὧ χορηγοίν, καὶ πλείστους ἀνθρώπους μὴ ὁμολογεῖν μοι ἀλλ' ἐναντία λέγειν μᾶλλον ἢ ε̈να ὄντα ἐμέ ἐμαυτῷ ἀσύμφωνον εἶναι καὶ ἐναντία λέγειν.

<sup>8.</sup> Plato, Symposium, 215 c: ἀλλ' οὐχ αὐλητής; πολύ γε θαυμασιώτερος ἐχείνου...σὺ δ' ἐχείνου τοσοῦτον μόνον διαφέρεις, ὅτι ἄνευ ὀργάνων ψιλοῖς λόγοις ταὐτόν τοῦτο ποιεῖς.

Some scholars translated mousike here as «arts». See, for example: Plato's Phaedo, trans. with notes by D. Gallop, Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1975; R.S. Bluck, Plato's Phaedo, a transl. with introduction and appendices, London, Routledge, 1955.

<sup>10.</sup> Burnet's suggestion that philosophy is music in so far as it is «the true soul-purge» is misleading. Cf. J. Burnet, *Plato's Phaedo*, Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1911, p. 60.

<sup>11.</sup> Plato, Phaedo, 61 a.

<sup>12.</sup> PLATO, Laches, 188 d-e.

Apollo's name which points to his power to move things together establishing order at different levels of the universe<sup>13</sup>.

Proclus' treatment of the notion of music qua philosophy which is confined to a mere stating of some aspects thereof hints at its rather moderate significance in view of the aims of his inquiry.

3. Music qua inspiration. Unlike the preceding type of music, the music inspired by the Muses is art in a proper sense. Proclus refers here to the passage from *Phaedrus* 245 a where Plato characterises as positive the kind of madness that springs from the Muses. The inspired music moves the souls to the poetry possessed by the gods and is identical with poetry. Its goal is to celebrate the heroic deeds of the ancestors motivating the future generations to follow their example, i. e., to love and resemble the virtue <sup>14</sup>.

Emphasising the significance of the social and political role of the inspired poet, Proclus compares him with the lawgiver. The latter produces normative definition of the good citizen, universal models and general guidelines for education that leads to this ideal, whereas the poet provides particular examples 15.

Proclus faithfully expounded here the passage from *Phaedrus* 245 a with the exception of the above remark about the lawgiver which seems to be a reminiscence of the discussion of music and education in the *Laws*. Whatever its origin, it usefully underlines the mimetic nature of the inspired poetry which is, in contrast to the work of the lawgiver,  $\tau \rho (\tau o \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi o \tau \eta \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i \alpha \varsigma^{16}$ .

To summarise: according to the fifth essay, music qua inspiration falls together with poetry, is imitative by its nature, and its essential features are that it derives directly from the Muses and aims at cultivation of the young by providing particular examples for imitation.

It is instructive to turn now to Proclus' discussion of the inspired poetry in the sixth essay of the Commentary to Republic. Proclus' aims and methods here are quite different 17, namely his concern is with the classification of the types of poetry which correlate with corresponding kinds of human life. The Diadochus sets out to carefully examine Plato's arguments point

<sup>17.</sup> I leave aside the problem of the compatibility between the fifth and the sixth essays. It suffice to point out here that there is a consensus over the independence of both essays.



<sup>13.</sup> PLATO, Cratylus, 405 c-d.

<sup>14.</sup> In Remp., II (K) 57,23-25; 29-31, λέγει δὲ καὶ τὴν ἐκ Μουσῶν κατοκωχὴν μουσικὴν τρόπον ἄλλον ὡς ἐξορμῶσαν καὶ κινοῦσαν εἰς τὴν ἔνθεον ποιητικὴν τὰς ψυχάς... κὰνταῦθα τὸ μουσικὸν εἰς ταὐτὸν ἥκει καὶ τὸ ποιητικόν. τῆς ἐνθέου μουσικῆς τὸν ἔνθεον ἀποτελούσης ποιητήν.

<sup>15.</sup> Ibid., I, 58,23-27.

<sup>16.</sup> Ibid., I, 58, 8 (K.).

for point avoiding superficial conclusions. He gives a concise characterisation of different types of poetry and proceeds by demonstrating that his division of poetry coincides with Plato's position <sup>18</sup>. Since, as we already know, «inspired poetry» is but another name for the inspired music, Proclus' considerations apply equally to the latter.

The highest place in Proclus' scheme occupies the inspired poetry 19. It is not surprising that here he appeals again to Phaedrus 245 a<sup>20</sup> picking up and elucidating four points. First, he emphasises that the inspired poetry is a gift of the Muses who establish harmony and properly ordered movement. Its impact on the human soul consists in restoring the divine proportion. Explaining the mechanism through which it works, Proclus characterises it as an act of illumination in which both involved sides - the divinity on the one hand and the illuminant on the other hand - interact with each other. Second, Proclus points out that the purity and receptiveness of the soul and sympathy with the divine are necessary conditions for the successful act of illumination. Third, according to Proclus, the overall effect of such disposition of the soul and of energy that springs from the Muses consists in awakening the soul and putting it into the divinely inspired motion. Finally, Proclus' last point concerns the educational function of the inspired poetry. Proclus disagrees with Plato who believed that it solely improves human existence by education of the young and inexperienced alongside the adults who need a more advanced education. Against this view he argues that the educational role of poetry is to help reach the divine that manifests itself in the souls of the duly disposed recipients<sup>21</sup>.

Even a brief glance at Proclus' analysis of *Phaedrus* 245 a makes clear that here the Diadochus deviates from both Plato and his own exposition of this passage in the fifth treatise. Indeed, it is one thing to inspire to the highest poetry, as Plato puts it, and it is another thing to reveal the veiled truths about the gods through allegorical terms. The crucial point of difference is that the status and functions of the inspired poetry changed significantly in the sixth essay. Specifically, in the earlier discussion the inspired music provides the first acquaintance with virtue for the young who are not prepared to conceive the highest truth and it does this by imitation to the perceptible model. Contrarily to this, the inspired poetry in the sixth essay is conceived of as illumination and it serves as a means of education for those who



<sup>18.</sup> in Remp., I 177,7 - 179, 30 (K.).

<sup>19.</sup> Ibid., I 180, 15-16 (K.). Proclus speaks about "chants and other parts of poetry".

<sup>20.</sup> In addition to *Phaedrus* he considers the passages from *Ion*, 531 a; 533 d-e, 534 e. Cf. *in Remp.*, I, 182, 23-185, 7 (K.) and from the third book of the *Laws*, *ibid.*, I 185,8 - 186,21 (K.) which are irrelevant for our purposes.

<sup>21.</sup> in Remp., I 180.11-182.21.

have already received their first lessons in political education. It aims to achieve the unity with the divine, the merging of the one in the soul with the all-perfect one, which is the highest goal of human beings. The mechanism of this illumination is not imitation but participation since here we have to do with imperceptible entities. Characteristic is the language of the sixth essay that betrays the Neo-platonic origin of its author. The act of illumination is described as interaction between the participated, i. e. divinity, and the participant, i. e., the human soul.

The upshot of the above is that the difference between the fifth and sixth essays is one between Plato's and Proclus' notions of music which will be elucidated in more detail in the last section of this paper.

4. Music as a love for beauty. Unlike the former, this sort of music is not inspired by the gods but can nonetheless enable the elevation of the soul<sup>22</sup>. It is produced by a musician who is a lover of beauty and has the capacity to ascend from a particular beauty, which is contained in audible harmonies and rhythms, to the one that can be approached only rationally. This type of musician is associated with the mousikos from Phaedrus 248 d who conjoined with the lover of beauty, philosopher and devotee of Eros in the first class of the hierarchy of human beings<sup>23</sup>. They share the privilege to have seen the most and, hence, be able to easily recall the intelligible world and return to the highest reality<sup>24</sup>. At the same time the musician qua  $\varphi \iota \lambda \delta \varkappa \alpha \lambda o \varsigma$  is clearly distinguished from the imitative poet who occupies the sixth place on the scale and is plainly of less worth.

On the one hand, Proclus identifies musician qua φιλόκαλος with the lover of beauty pointing out that both of them (alongside the devotee of Eros) operate by departing from sensible beauty to the rational principles that underlie the absolute beauty. They differ only in that the musician perceives the beautiful objects through the eye whereas the ερωτικός gains access to them through the ear. The philosopher, unlike them, is in a position to reach directly the realm of Ideas without seeking support in the phenomenal world. But on the other hand Proclus appears willing to place the mousikos on par with the philosopher on the grounds that he is familiar with the absolute

<sup>24.</sup> Proclus also discusses Plato's classification of men in his Commentary on the First Book of Euclid's Elements, 21.7-20 (Friedlein), where he emphasizes the ability of the philosopher to have immediate grasp of the highest truths.



<sup>22.</sup> This type of mousike has no counterpart in the classification of the types of poetry from the sixth essay.

<sup>23.</sup> Cf. in Remp., I 58.27-59.3 (K.), τὸ τρίτον μουσικῆς εἶδος, οὐκέτι τοῦτο καθάπερ τὸ προρρηθὲν ἐνθεαστικόν, ἀναγωγόν δὲ ὅμως ἀπὸ τῶν φαινομένων ἀρμονιῶν εἰς τὸ ἀφανὲς τῆς θείας άρμονίας κάλλος φιλόκαλος γὰρ καὶ ὁ τοιοῦτος μουσικός, ῶσπερ καὶ ὁ ἐρωτικός, εἰ καὶ ὅ μὲν δι' ὄψεως, ὅ δὲ δι' ἀκοῆς ἀναμιμήσκεται τοῦ καλοῦ.

beauty. He maintains that a musician qua φιλόκαλος knows both the form which is the absolute beauty and a particular form since a particular beauty is at the same time beauty and a particular form is still a form<sup>25</sup>.

So far, our analysis has shown that Proclus assigns the power to initiate the elevation of the soul to two types of mousike which work to the same end by different methods. The inspired music employs linguistic devices whereas the music of the  $\varphi\iota\lambda\delta\kappa\alpha\lambda\delta$  is not associated with the words. It belongs to the group of anagogic arts to which Proclus refers in the Commentary on Parmenides demarcating them from "those that soul uses when it is at play, or occupied with mortal things, or ministering to the needs of human life" Music of the  $\varphi\iota\lambda\delta\kappa\alpha\lambda\delta$  examines the ratios and mathematical relations between the harmonies and is sensu stricto theory of music. Alongside other mathematical sciences it provides propaedeutics to the study of dialectic.

Proclus' obvious inclination to consider the sensible music of the inspired poet alongside the music of the φιλόκαλος as a means to achieve the unity with the divine is the point where his originality comes to light.

5. Music qua education/imitation. Proclus designated as educational the last kind of mousike which improves the "passions of the soul" through harmony and rhythm and leads to virtue. It has been suggested by Socrates alongside gymnastics for the education of the guardians in the ideal state. This kind of sensible music is further defined via negativa as not belonging to the class of propaedeutical disciplines designed for the higher education of the philosopher-kings<sup>27</sup>.

Now, the title «educational» is not quite correct since it does not bring to light the specific character of music in question; indeed, all preceding kinds, though pursuing different goals and employing various methods to arrive at them, are educational in some sense<sup>28</sup>.

The predicate «imitative» may appear prima facie even more misleading

<sup>28.</sup> The educative aspect is constitutive to Proclus' notion of mousike ωστ' εἴη ἄν ἡ ποιητικὴ κατ' αὐτὸν ἕξις μιμητικὴ διά τε μύθων καὶ λόγων μετὰ άρμονιῶν καὶ ῥυθμῶν κατ' ἀρετὴν διατιθέναι διαναμένων τὰς τῶν ἀκουόντων ψυχάς (Ibid., I, 67,6-9).



<sup>25.</sup> in Remp., I, 59,16-20 (K.).

<sup>26.</sup> Εἰ δὲ δὴ καὶ τὰς ἐπιστήμας ἐθέλοι τὶς τέχνας καλεῖν, διαρετέον οὓτω· τῶν τεχνῶν ὅσαι μὲν ἀνάγουσι τὴν ψυχὴν καὶ ὁμοιοῦσι πρὸς νοῦν, τούτων ειδη θυσόμεθα πρὸς ᾳ καὶ οἰκειοῦσιν ἡμᾶς· ὁμοίως γαρ ἐστιν ἐκεῖ σχῆμα καὶ ἡ νόησις τοῦ σχήματος, καὶ ἀριθμὸς καὶ ἡ τοῦ ἀρισμοῦ νόησις. καὶ ἀριθμητικῆς οὖν καὶ μουσικῆς καὶ γεωμετρίας καὶ ἀστρονομίας ειδη θήσομεν, εὶ μὴ τὰς δεδημευμένας λέγοι τις τούτων ἐκάστην, ἀλλὰ τὰς νοερὰς καὶ ἐποπτικὰς τῶν θείων εἰδῶν. (Procli in Platonis Parmenidem commentaria). Tomus I: Libros I-III continens / edidit Carlos Steel; recognoverunt brevique adnotatione critica instruxerunt C. Steel, C. Mace, P.D'Hoine, Imprint, Oxford/New York, Clarendon Press / Oxford University Press, 2007, I, 828,15-21.

<sup>27.</sup> in Remp., I, 59, 20-22): λέγει δὴ οὖν καὶ ἄλλην ἐπί ταύταις μουσικήν, τὴν παιδευτικὴν τῶν ἡθῶν διά τε άρμονιῶν τῶν εἰς ἀρετὴν καὶ ῥυθμῶν.

since, as a matter of fact, Plato maintained in the fifth essay that all poetry is imitative. That this predicate likewise applies to the second type of mousikē in Proclus classification is beyond doubt. It proves nonetheless to be an adequate description of the fourth type of music if we consider the information provided in the sixth essay. There Proclus qualified as imitative only the inspired poetry whereas the uninspired one is contrasted to it as one which works through symbols. The imitative poetry corresponds to the third life which is predominantly material and is the most deficient mode of life from the point of view of its cognitive potential. Following Plato's Sophist, Proclus subdivided it in eikastic that produces correct opinion and fantastic that represents things as they seem to be and consequently has a still inferior cognitive status<sup>29</sup>.

Summarising, let me remind the types of mousike that are considered in Proclus systematisation of Plato's views about the nature and functions of music. The first one is mousike in the widest sense as culture which covers all kinds of spiritual activities. Another type of music corresponds to the Greek notion of music as a synthetic art embracing word, melody and rhythm; it can be inspired and uninspired. Finally, there is music qua anagogic art which is identical with music theory.

Now, it should be recalled that Proclus' overall intention was to clarify the relationship between poetry and *mousikē*. On the basis of the results of his survey, he concluded that poetry should be subsumed either under the music inspired by the gods or under the uninspired *mousikē*, and it is to be separated from music which correlates with the first life in Proclus' categorisation of humans and is not mimetic by its nature<sup>30</sup>.

Interpreting this conclusion of Proclus, Festugiere who is the author of the French translation of Proclus' Commentary on the Republic, in a footnote to this passage specified three kinds of music from the second to fourth ruling out only the notion of music qua philosophy<sup>31</sup>. He thus extended the notion of music identical with poetry into the music of φιλόκαλος which is obviously mistaken; as we have seen, Proclus explicitly demarcates it from poetry. According to Anne Sheppard, poetry belongs to the second and fourth type of music<sup>32</sup>. This suggestion seems to reflect Proclus' position.

<sup>32.</sup> A. Sheppard, Studies on the 5th and 6th Essays of Proclus' Commentary on the Republic, Hypomnemata, Untersuchungen zur Antike und zu ihrem Nachleben. Goettingen: Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht, 1980, p. 18.



<sup>29.</sup> in Remp., I, 189-190 (K.).

<sup>30.</sup> Ibid., I, 60,6-9 (K.). Τοσούτων τοίνυν ὄντων τῶν παρ' αὐτῶ μουσικῶν ἤδη φανερόν, ὅπως τὴν ποιητικὴν ὑπὸ τὴν μουσικὴν τακτέον, εἴτε τὴν ἔνθεον εἴτε τὴν μὴ τοιαύτην, καὶ τίνος διοριστέον, ὅτι τῆς ἀναγομένης.

<sup>31.</sup> Cf. Commentaire sur la Republique, trad. A. J. FESTUGIÈRE, Paris, Vrin, 1970, p. 76: «C'està-dire, qu'il s'agisse ou de la 2e ou des 3e et 4e espèces de la mousiqué».

Interestingly, music qua philosophy does not appear in Proclus' recapitulating remarks, neither is it mentioned by the commentators which points to the assumed lack of connection between this kind of mousikē and poetry. This assumption might appear plausible if we take into account that here music is not construed as art proper. But on the other hand, it is a science which leads to wisdom and virtue and embraces all pursuits that stand under the patronage of Apollo and the Muses including poetry. This is certainly an essentially different kind of relationship compared to the congruity between the inspired music and poetry but it should not be ignored for the sake of completeness.

We are not going to dwell further on Proclus' question about the relation of music to poetry which lies behind his systematisation of Plato's views about music. Our interest is in Proclus' own notion of mousikē to which we are turning now.

6. Theurgy versus Contemplation. If we ask whether Proclus' classification of music is complete, i. e., does justice to the variety of meanings of mousikē found in Plato's works, the answer should be negative. Proclus, for example, does not mention mousikē as choreia – a unity of melody with word and gesture that plays a prominent role in the Laws<sup>33</sup>. Likewise absent from Proclus' classification is the narrow notion of music as rhythmically ordered movement of musical sounds to which Plato refers in Gorgias<sup>34</sup>. These omissions, I suggest, are to be explained through Proclus' overriding interest in poetry and literature in general and consequently his preference for the kinds of music associated with words. Other means of artistic expression are for Proclus evidently of rather marginal importance.

One may object at this point that Proclus' is not original here. In effect, the priority attached by Plato to music tied to words and his demotion of purely instrumental music are well-known. This was due to the circumstance that melody separated from the text is semantically ambivalent and vague which significantly decreases its capacity to mold character. Conformably to Plato, Proclus assigned to this kind of music an important political and social role in the life of the *polis*. Differences emerge at the point where Proclus draws

<sup>34.</sup> Gorgias, 449 d: Οὐχοῦν καὶ ἡ μουσικῆ περὶ τὴν τῶν μελῶν ποίησιν.



<sup>33.</sup> Laws, II, 655 a: ἀλλ' ἐν γὰρ μουσικῆ καὶ σχήματα μὲν καὶ μέλη ἔνεστι, περὶ ῥυθμὸν καὶ άρμονίαν οὕσης τῆς μουσικῆς, ὢστε εὕρυθμον μὲν καὶ εὐάρμοστον, εὕχρων δὲ μέλος ἢ σχῆμα οὐκ ἔστιν ἀπεικάσαντα ὢσπερ οἱ χοροδιδάσκαλοι ἀπεικάζουσιν ὀρθῶς φθέγγεσθαι.

In his Commentary on Plato's Alcibiades I, 208, 5-6 (Westerink), Proclus mentions «the ensemble of the divisions of music viz. song, melody and step».

a distinction between the inspired and uninspired mousike. As such, it is not new but had been offered by Plato as a distinction between technē, i.e., the art based on a fixed system of rules on the one hand, and poetry that derives from the irrational, manic inspiration on the other hand. The peculiar Proclean contribution consists in the re-evaluation of the epistemic status of the inspired mousike which causes tension in his own position. For Plato inspired mousikē was a source of pleasure as the passage from Phaedrus 259 c unequivocally shows, whereas the criterion of value of the mimetic mousike that served for training of the young was the correctness of representation35. Remarkably, in the sixth essay Proclus extensively discusses Plato's rejection of pleasure as a basis for judgment of mimetic poetry approving it without hesitation, but he did not even mention the connection between the inspired poetry and pleasure established by Plato. The reason, I assume, is that he should have found it difficult to reconcile this belief of Plato with his own attitude to the inspired poetry. Proclus' raised the inspired mousike above the level of pleasurable experience placing a great emphasis upon its role in higher education, something that Plato did not intend. The educational significance of the inspired music as a key which opens the door to the divine realm is based upon Proclus' more positive appraisal of its cognitive status. According to Proclus, the imitative poet is thrice removed from the truth whereas the poet possessed by the Muses is in contact with the highest reality and is capable of contemplating eternal verities<sup>36</sup>.

With this move the Diadochus explicitly acknowledged symbolic representation as a type of musical meaning essentially different from imitation which correlates with imperceptible realities: they namely cannot be imitated but pointed indirectly to. Proclus believed that the divine can be approached only through symbols which he regarded as a constitutive part of the practice of theurgy. The mechanism of the latter was based upon the intimate relation between the soul and the gods, the sympathy and the proper disposition of the soul upon which Proclus insisted in his characterisation of the inspired mousikē.

As already mentioned, for Proclus the symbolic function was primarily performed by linguistic means, and this is one reason for his interest – one is tempted to add «exclusive» - in music associated with words. He seems to place some value upon purely musical elements of *mousikē* when he takes pains to justify the acceptance of Phrygian mode on the grounds that it can be used in religious rites<sup>37</sup>. However, it is difficult to say how he could con-



<sup>35.</sup> PLATO, Laws, II, 668 a-c

<sup>36.</sup> in Remp., I, 199,1-3 (K.).

<sup>37.</sup> Ibid., I, 62,5-8 (K.).

ceive the mechanism of symbolic expression through music in the narrow sense<sup>38</sup>.

There can be no doubt that for Proclus the inspired mousike, as an important ingredient of the theurgy, was the main means of connecting human souls with divine beings and as such it gained access into higher education. Here exactly he deviated from both Plato and Plotinus. Plato believed that only intelligible music is pertinent and valuable as an instrument of approaching the divine. In so doing, it employs, as one of mathematical sciences, the method of contemplation. Plotinus who relied heavily on Plato took a very similar position but he, so to say, «aesthetisised» the process of contemplation through his emphasis upon the experiential aspect. Proclus neither ignored nor disapproved this kind of anagogic mousike. It is mentioned and accurately described in his categorisation of the types of mousikē as music of the lover of beauty. But a noteworthy feature of his notion of music is that he conferred an equal epistemic and educational status on the sensible music qua inspired music being convinced that it can convey symbolically the higher truth. This revision of Plato's concept of music should be seen as a part (or a consequence) of his project to bring about a rapprochement between mythology, religion and philosophy. The inspired music was namely the ideal point where they met.

E. PANAÏOTIDI (Russia)

<sup>38.</sup> Jamblichus, for instance, explicitly acknowledged musical compositions as a kind of material symbols.



## ΕΙΣ ΑΝΑΖΗΤΗΣΗ ΤΗΣ ΕΝΟΤΗΤΑΣ: Η ΑΝΤΙΛΗΨΗ ΤΟΥ ΠΡΟΚΛΟΥ ΠΕΡΙ ΜΟΥΣΙΚΗΣ

## Περίληψη

Ή παρούσα μελέτη έξετάζει την αντίληψη του Πρόκλου περί μουσικής, ή όποία προχύπτει από τη συστηματοποιημένη μελέτη των θέσεων τοῦ Πλάτωνος περί μουσικής όπως αὐτὲς ἐμφανίζονται στὰ Σχόλιά του στὸν πλατωνικὸ διάλογο Πολιτεία. Στην πέμπτη ένότητα τῶν σχολίων ἐπιχειρεῖται ἡ συγκρότηση μιᾶς ένοποιημένης πλατωνικῆς ἀντίληψης γιὰ τὴ μουσικὴ ἡ ὁποία συνιστά τὸ ἀποτέλεσμα μιᾶς έρμηνευτικής ἐπεξεργασίας τῶν διάσπαρτων παρατηρήσεων τοῦ φιλοσόφου ἐπὶ τοῦ θέματος. Ὁ Πρόκλος προσπαθεῖ νὰ ἐναρμονίσει τὶς μεταξύ τους ἀντιφάσεις προτείνοντας μιὰ ταξινόμηση τῶν διαφόρων όψεων της αντίληψης του Πλάτωνος για τη μουσική. Αυτή περιλαμβάνει: (α) μουσική qua φιλοσοφία: (β) μουσική qua ἔμπνευση: (γ) μουσική ώς ἀγάπη τῆς ὀμορφιᾶς καὶ (δ) μουσικὴ ώς παιδεία/μίμηση. Στὸ παρὸν ἄρθρο έξετάζονται οἱ παρεχχλίσεις ἀπὸ τὶς ἀπόψεις τοῦ Πλάτωνος χαὶ τονίζεται ἡ πρωτοτυπία τοῦ Πρόκλου. Όπως ὑποστηρίζεται, ἡ ἐκτίμηση τοῦ γνωστικοῦ καὶ παιδευτικοῦ ρόλου τῆς ἐμπνευσμένης μουσικῆς τοῦ Διαδόχου διαφέρει σημαντικά ἀπὸ τὴ θέση τοῦ Πλάτωνος. Ὁ Πλάτων πίστευε ὅτι ἡ ἐμπνευσμένη μουσική είναι τρίτον ἀπὸ τῆς ἀληθείας, ἐνῶ σύμφωνα μὲ τὸν Πρόκλο, αὐτή ἀποτελεῖ ἕνα σημαντικὸ στοιχεῖο τῆς θεουργίας καὶ ὡς τέτοιο ἀποτελεῖ μέσον προσέγγισης τῶν αἰώνιων ἀληθειῶν καὶ ἕνωσης μὲ τὴν θεότητα.

Ε. ΠΑΝΑΓΙΩΤΙΛΗ

179

